

Cauchy additive functional equation with quality and certainty of the approximation in generalized Z-numbers

Elumalai Sathya and Mohan Arunkumar*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide the generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the Cauchy additive functional equation $F(X + Y) = F(X) + F(Y)$ with quality and certainty of the approximation in generalized Z-numbers in the sense of Rassias and Găvruta.

1. Introduction

In many practical problems, the fuzzy probability approach can be an important component of decision-making. In the real world, we consider various aspects of uncertainty that are not always well represented in fuzzy sets of information uncertainty. To overcome this problem, Zadeh introduced the Z-number (Z-N) in 2011 [23]; for more on the subject, see Aliev et al. [4] and Allahviranloo et al. [5]. A Z-N is an ordered binary of the form (A, B) , where the first component shows the fuzzy value and the second shows the uncertainty of the first. Based on the Z-N theory, we provide a model which considers both certainty and quality for the solution of a Cauchy additive functional equation.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B52, 32B72, 32B82.

Key words and phrases. Additive functional equations, Hyers-Ulam stability, Fuzzy Banach space, Random Banach space, Generalized Z-Numbers, fixed point

*Corresponding author



This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.



In 1940, Ulam [22] at the University of Wisconsin projected the following stability problem:

“When is it true that a function, which approximately satisfies a functional equation, must be close to an exact solution of the equation? ”

In 1941, Hyers [12] provided a favourable answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. In 1950, Aoki [6] was the second author to treat this problem for additive mappings. In 1978, Th.M. Rassias [19] get a head in extending Hyers Theorem by weakening the condition for the Cauchy difference controlled by $(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$, $p \in [0, 1)$, to be unbounded. In 1982, J.M. Rassias [18] switched the factor $\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p$ by $\|x\|^p\|y\|^q$, for $p, q \in R$. A generalization of all the above stability results were obtained by P. Găvruta [11] in 1994 by swapping the unbounded Cauchy difference by a general control function $\varphi(x, y)$. In 2008, a special case of Gavruta's theorem for the unbounded Cauchy difference was obtained by Ravi et al., [20] by considering the factor $\|x\|^{2p} + \|y\|^{2p} + \|x\|^p\|y\|^p$.

One of the most famous functional equation is the Cauchy additive functional equation

$$F(X + Y) = F(X) + F(Y) \quad (1)$$

having solution $F(X) = c X$. The functional equation (1) was first treated by Legendre (1791) and Gauss (1809). In 1821, it was first solved by A.L. Cauchy in the class of continuous real-valued functions. It is often called a Cauchy additive functional equation in honour of A. L. Cauchy, see [15]. Stability analysis in the sense of Ulam-Hyers can be used to find an approximate solution for a wide selection of functional equations, we refer to [1, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 21].

Now, we present the result due to Diaz and Margolis [9] for fixed point theory.

Theorem 1.1. [3] *Let (Ω, Δ) be a complete generalized metric space (GMS) and $\Xi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ such that for all $x, y \in \Omega$,*

$$d(\Xi x, \Xi y) \leq Ld(x, y), L \in (0, 1).$$

Then, for each given $x \in \Omega$, either

$$d(\Xi^n x, \Xi^{n+1} x) = \infty, \forall n \geq 0,$$

or there exists a natural number n_0 such that

(FPC1) $d(\Xi^n x, \Xi^{n+1} x) < \infty$, for all $n \geq n_0$;

(FPC2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Xi^n x = y^$;*

(FPC3) Y^ is the unique fixed point of Ξ in the set $\Omega^* = \{y \in \Omega : d(\Xi^{n_0} x, Y) < \infty\}$;*

(FPC4) $d(Y, y^) \leq \frac{1}{1-L}d(Y, \Xi y)$, for all $Y \in \Omega^*$.*

2. Basics of Generalized Z-number (GZ-N)

Now, we recall the basics of Generalized Z-number (GZ-N) as given in [3]. Let $\Theta_1 = [0, 1]$, and let x_{Θ_1} be given as follows:

$$x_{\Theta_1} = \left\{ \text{diag } \Theta_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{diag}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n], \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \in \Theta_1 \right\}.$$

We write $\text{diag}[\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n] \preceq \text{diag}[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n]$, when $\theta_i \leq \kappa_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Definition 2.1. A mapping $\otimes : x_{\Theta_1} \times x_{\Theta_1} \rightarrow x_{\Theta_1}$ is called a generalized continuous t-norm (GCTN) if for all $\rho, \kappa, \varpi, y, \kappa_n, \varpi_n \in x_{\Theta_1}$, $\mathbf{1} = \text{diag}[1, \dots, 1]$ the following conditions are satisfied:

- (GCT1) $\varpi \otimes \mathbf{1} = \varpi$;
- (GCT2) $\varpi \otimes \kappa = \kappa \otimes \varpi$;
- (GCT3) $\varpi \otimes (\kappa \otimes \varpi) = (\varpi \otimes \kappa) \otimes \varpi$;
- (GCT4) $\rho \preceq \kappa$ and $\varpi \preceq y$ imply that $\rho \otimes \varpi \preceq \kappa \otimes y$;
- (GCT5) If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_n = \kappa$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varpi_n = \varpi$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\kappa_n \otimes \varpi_n) = \kappa \otimes \varpi$.

In this paper, we choose the minimum t-norm $\otimes_M = x_{\Theta_1} \times x_{\Theta_1} \rightarrow x_{\Theta_1}$ which is defined as follows:

$$\varpi \otimes_M \kappa = \text{diag}[\varpi_1, \dots, \varpi_n] \otimes_M \text{diag}[\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n] = \text{diag}[\min\{\varpi_1, \kappa_1\}, \dots, \min\{\varpi_n, \kappa_n\}].$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\wp \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\wp \in (0, 1]$, and let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{C} . If X is a vector space over \mathbb{K} , A fuzzy set $\aleph_{\wp} : X \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \Theta_1$ is a \wp -fuzzy norm (\wp -FN) on X if and only if we have

- (\wp -FN1) $\aleph_{\wp}(x, \zeta) = 1$, if and only if $x = 0$ for $\zeta \in (0, \infty)$;
- (\wp -FN2) $\aleph_{\wp}(\gamma x, \zeta) = \aleph_{\wp}\left(x, \frac{\zeta}{\gamma}\right)$, for each $\gamma \neq 0 \in \mathbb{K}$, all $x \in X$ and for $\zeta \in (0, \infty)$;
- (\wp -FN3) $\aleph_{\wp}(x + y, \zeta + \delta) \geq \aleph_{\wp}(x, \zeta) \otimes \mu(Y, \delta)$, for all $x, Y \in X$ and for $\zeta, \delta \in (0, \infty)$;
- (\wp -FN4) $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow +\infty} \aleph_{\wp}(x, \zeta) = 1$, for any $\zeta \in (0, \infty)$.

A \wp -Banach FN space is a complete \wp -FN space.

We now use the concept of probability distribution functions to measure the certainty of a vector [2], where we put

$$\epsilon_0(\zeta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta \leq 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \zeta > 0. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3. Let $\wp \in (0, 1)$ and let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. A \wp -random normed space (\wp -RNS) is a triple $(X, {}^{\wp}\mu, \otimes')$, where X is a vector space over \mathbb{K} , \otimes' is a continuous t-norm and ${}^{\wp}\mu$ is a mapping from X into D^+ such that the following conditions hold:

- (\wp -RNS1) ${}^{\wp}\mu_x(\zeta) = \epsilon_0(\zeta)$ for all $\zeta > 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(\wp -RNS2) ${}^{\wp}\mu_{\alpha x}(\zeta) = {}^{\wp}\mu_x\left(\frac{\zeta}{|\alpha|^{\wp}}\right)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha \neq 0$;

(\wp -RNS3) ${}^{\wp}\mu_{x+y}(\zeta + \delta) \geq {}^{\wp}\mu_x(\zeta) \otimes' {}^{\wp}\mu_Y(\delta)$ for all $x, y \in X$ and $\zeta, \delta \geq 0$, where ${}^{\wp}\mu_x$ denotes the value of ${}^{\wp}\mu$ at a point $x \in X$.

Definition 2.4. Let $\wp \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\wp \in (0, 1)$ and let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. We define a matrix-valued function $\tilde{Z} : X \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow x_{\Theta_1}$ with

$$\tilde{Z}(x, \zeta) = \text{diag}[\mathfrak{N}_{\wp}(x, \zeta), {}^{\wp}\mu_x(\zeta), \mathfrak{N}_{\wp}(x, \zeta) \otimes {}^{\wp}\mu_x(\zeta)]$$

and call it a generalized Z-number (GZ-N), when for all $x, y \in X$ and $\zeta, \delta \geq 0$, $\alpha \neq 0$, the following conditions are satisfied:

(GZN1) $\tilde{Z}(x, \zeta) = \text{diag}[1, 1, 1] = 1$ if and only if $x = 0$;

(GZN2) $\tilde{Z}(\alpha x, \zeta) = \tilde{Z}\left(x, \frac{\zeta}{|\alpha|^{\wp}}\right)$ for all $x \in X$ and $\alpha \neq 0$;

(GZN3) $\tilde{Z}(x + y, \zeta + \delta) \succeq \tilde{Z}(x, \zeta) \otimes_M \tilde{Z}(Y, \delta)$.

The above conditions are verified in [3].

Definition 2.5. Let (X, \tilde{Z}) be a GZ-N. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\tilde{Z}(x_n - x, \zeta) > 1 - \lambda$, for all $n \geq N$.

Definition 2.6. Let (X, \tilde{Z}) be a GZ-N. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a Cauchy sequence if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer N such that $\tilde{Z}(x_n - x_m, \zeta) > 1 - \lambda$, for all $n \geq m \geq N$.

Definition 2.7. Let (X, \tilde{Z}) be a GZ-N. A GZ-N (X, \tilde{Z}) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent to a point in X .

In order to investigate the generalized Ulam - Hyers stability of the functional equations (1) in GZ-N, for that, suppose that $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ where \mathcal{F}_1 be a $\wp - N$ left \mathcal{C} - module and \mathcal{F}_2 be a $\wp - N$ left Banach \mathbb{C} - module.

3. Measure of the Quality and the Certainty of the Approximation of the Solution of Functional Equation (1) with GZ-N

Theorem 3.1. Let $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is a function satisfying the inequality

$$\tilde{Z}\left(F(X + Y) - F(X) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \quad (2)$$

where

$$A\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right) = \text{diag}\left[A_1\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right), A_2\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right), A_1\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \otimes A_2\left(\left(X, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right)\right] \quad (3)$$

with $A_1 : \mathcal{F}_1^2 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \Theta_1, A_2 : \mathcal{F}_1^2 \rightarrow D^+$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. If there exists $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(I)$ be a function have the properties

$$A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(\frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right), \quad A\left(\left(P_I Y, P_I Y\right), P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{C}\right), \quad (4)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$, then there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{G}(Y) : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ satisfying the functional equation (1) and

$$\tilde{Z}\left(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} \mathcal{C}\right) \quad (5)$$

where $A : \mathcal{F}_1^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be function with the condition

$$\lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} A\left(\left(P_I^D X, P_I^D Y\right), P_I^{D\varphi} \mathcal{C}\right) = 1 \quad (6)$$

and

$$P_I = \begin{cases} 2 & I = 0; \\ \frac{1}{2} & I = 1. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and all $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

PROOF. Assume a set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{p/p : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2, p(0) = 0\}$$

and introduce the generalized metric on the above set \mathcal{X} as

$$d(p, q) = \inf \left\{ K \in (0, \infty) : \tilde{Z}(p(Y) - q(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{K} \mathcal{C}\right) \right\}, \quad (8)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and all $\mathcal{C} > 0$. It is easy to see that (\mathcal{X}, d) is complete (See Theorem 3.1 of [3]). Define a function $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ by

$$Tp(Y) = \frac{1}{P_I} p(P_I Y), \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathcal{F}_1. \quad (9)$$

Now $p, q \in \mathcal{X}, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and all $\mathcal{C} > 0$, we have $d(p, q) \leq K$. This implies that

$$\tilde{Z}(p(Y) - q(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{K} \mathcal{C}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}\left(\frac{1}{P_I} p(P_I Y) - \frac{1}{P_I} q(P_I Y), \mathcal{C}\right) &\succeq A\left(\left(P_I Y, P_I Y\right), P_I^\varphi \frac{1}{K} \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &\succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}K} \mathcal{C}\right). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\tilde{Z}(Tp(Y) - Tq(Y)\mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}K} \mathcal{C}\right),$$

and so

$$d(Tp, Tq) \leq \mathcal{L} K,$$

i.e., T is a strictly contractive mapping on \mathcal{X} with Lipschitz constant \mathcal{L} . Changing (X, Y) by (Y, Y) in (2), we arrive

$$\tilde{Z}(F(2Y) - 2F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right), \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0. \quad (10)$$

The above inequality can be rewritten by using (GZN2) as

$$\tilde{Z}\left(\frac{F(2Y)}{2} - F(Y), \frac{1}{2^\varphi} \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right).$$

Then

$$\tilde{Z}\left(\frac{F(2Y)}{2} - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), 2^\varphi \mathcal{C}\right), \quad (11)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0$.

With the help of (4), it follows from (11) for the case $I = 0$ it reduces to

$$\tilde{Z}(TF(Y) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{C}\right).$$

Then

$$d(TF, F) \leq \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{1-I} < \infty, \quad (12)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0$. Replacing $Y = \frac{Y}{2}$ in (10) and using (GZN2), we have

$$\tilde{Z}\left(F(Y) - 2F\left(\frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(\frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right), \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0. \quad (13)$$

With the help of (4), it follows from (13) for the case $I = 1$ it reduces to

$$\tilde{Z}(F(Y) - TF(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right).$$

Then

$$d(F, TF) \leq 1 = \mathcal{L}^{1-I} < \infty, \quad (14)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0$. Combining the above two cases, we arrive

$$d(F, TF) \leq \mathcal{L}^{1-I}. \quad (15)$$

Therefore (FPC1) of Theorem 1.1 holds. By (FPC2) of Theorem 1.1, it follows that there exists a unique fixed point $\mathcal{G}(Y)$ of T in \mathcal{X} such that

$$\tilde{Z}\left(\mathcal{G}(Y) - \lim_{D \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(P_I^D Y)}{P_I^D}, \mathcal{C}\right) = 1, \quad \forall Y \in \mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{C} > 0. \quad (16)$$

Now, to show that $\mathcal{T}_2(Y)$ satisfies (1), changing (X, Y) by $(P_I^D X, P_I^D Y)$ and using (GZN2) in (2), we reach

$$\tilde{Z}\left(\frac{1}{P_I^D} \left\{F\left(P_I^D(X + Y)\right) - F\left(P_I^D X\right) - F\left(P_I^D Y\right)\right\}, \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(P_I^D X, P_I^D Y\right), P_I^{D\varphi} \mathcal{C}\right) \quad (17)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Approaching $D \rightarrow \infty$ and using the definition of $\mathcal{G}(Y)$, (6), (GZN1) in the above inequality, we see that $\mathcal{G}(Y)$ satisfies the functional equation (1) for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$. Again by (FPC3) of Theorem 1.1, $\mathcal{G}(Y)$ is the unique fixed point of T in the set

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{\mathcal{G}(Y) \in \mathcal{X} : d(F, \mathcal{G}(Y)) < \infty\},$$

such that

$$d(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y)) \leq K A(Y, Y).$$

Finally by (FPC4) of Theorem 1.1, we obtain

$$d(F, \mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{1}{1 - \mathcal{L}} d(F, \mathcal{G}).$$

This implies that

$$d(F, \mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}}{1 - \mathcal{L}}$$

which yields

$$\tilde{Z}\left(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq A\left(\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} \mathcal{C}\right).$$

this completes the proof of the theorem. \square

From the above theorem, we have the following corollaries concerning some stabilities of (1).

Corollary 3.2. *Let E be positive number and $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a function fulfilling the inequality*

$$\tilde{Z}\left(F(X + Y) - F(X) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq \text{diag}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{1}{E}}, \frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{1}{E}}\right), \quad (18)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{G}(Y) : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ satisfying the functional equation (1) and

$$\tilde{Z}\left(\mathcal{G}(Y) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \succeq \text{diag}\left(\frac{|2^\varphi - 1| \mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{|2^\varphi - 1| \mathcal{C}}{E}}, \frac{|2^\varphi - 1| \mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{|2^\varphi - 1| \mathcal{C}}{E}}\right), \quad (19)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

PROOF. From (4), we see

$$\tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(Y, Y\right), \mathcal{C}\right) = \tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(\frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right) = \text{diag}\left(\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{1}{E}}, \frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{1}{E}}\right) \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(P_I Y, P_I Y\right), P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &= \text{diag}\left(\frac{P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{1}{P_I^\varphi E}}, \frac{P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{1}{P_I^\varphi E}}\right) = \tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(Y, Y\right), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{C}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. It follows from (5), (20) and (21):

For $I = 0$:

$$\mathcal{L} = P_I^\varphi = \frac{1}{2^\varphi} = 2^{-\varphi}.$$

Then

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - 2^{-\varphi})}{(2^{-\varphi})^{1-0}} = (2^\varphi - 1)$$

Hence,

$$\tilde{Z}(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{(2^\varphi - 1) \mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{1}{(2^\varphi - 1) \mathcal{C}}}, \frac{(2^\varphi - 1) \mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{1}{(2^\varphi - 1) \mathcal{C}}} \right).$$

For $I = 1$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{P_I^\varphi} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2^\varphi}} = 2^\varphi.$$

We have,

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - 2^\varphi)}{(2^\varphi)^{1-1}} = (1 - 2^\varphi)$$

Therefore,

$$\tilde{Z}(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}) \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{(1 - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{E}, e^{-\frac{1}{(1 - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}}, \frac{(1 - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{E} \otimes e^{\frac{1}{(1 - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}} \right).$$

□

Corollary 3.3. *Assume that E is a positive number and J is a real number with $J \neq 1$. Let $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a function fulfilling the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}(F(X + Y) - F(X) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\ & \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_\varphi^J + \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}, e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_\varphi^J + \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_\varphi^J + \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_\varphi^J + \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{G}(Y) : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ satisfying the functional equation (1) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{G}(Y) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\ & \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E} + \frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}, e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}}, \frac{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E} + \frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

PROOF. From (4), we see

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}'\left(A(Y, Y), \mathcal{C}\right) &= \tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(\frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &= \text{diag}\left(\frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}, e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}\right)\end{aligned}\quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}'\left(A(P_I Y, P_I Y), P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}\right) &= \text{diag}\left(\frac{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E} + 2\|Y\|_\varphi^J}, e^{-\frac{2\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \frac{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E} + 2\|Y\|_\varphi^J} \otimes e^{-\frac{2\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}}\right) \\ &= \tilde{Z}'\left(A(Y, Y), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{C}\right),\end{aligned}\quad (25)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. It follows from (5), (24) and (25):

For $I = 0$,

$$\mathcal{L} = (P_I^{1-J})^\varphi = \frac{1}{(2^{1-J})^\varphi} = 2^{J\varphi - \varphi}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - 2^{J\varphi - \varphi})}{(2^{J\varphi - \varphi})^{1-0}} = \frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi})}{2^{J\varphi}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Z}\left(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) &\succeq \text{diag}\left(\frac{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}}}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}} + \frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}, e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}}}}, \frac{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}}}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}} + \frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J}\|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi E}}}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

For $I = 1$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{(P_I^{1-J})^\varphi} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{(2^{1-J})^\varphi}} = 2^{\varphi - J\varphi}.$$

Then

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - 2^{\varphi - J\varphi})}{(2^{\varphi - J\varphi})^{1-1}} = \frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi)}{2^{J\varphi}}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\ & \preceq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E} + \frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}, e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}}, \frac{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E} + \frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{2}{2^J} \|Y\|_\varphi^J}{\frac{(2^{J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{J\varphi} E}}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.4. *Assume E is a positive number and J is a real number with $J \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Let $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a function fulfilling the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}(F(X + Y) - F(X) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\ & \preceq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_\varphi^J \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}, e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_\varphi^J \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_\varphi^J \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_\varphi^J \|Y\|_\varphi^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{G}(Y) : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ satisfying the functional equation (1) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}(\mathcal{G}(Y) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\ & \preceq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}}, \frac{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{\frac{|2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

PROOF. From (4), we see

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Z}'(A(Y, Y), \mathcal{C}) &= \tilde{Z}'\left(A\left(\frac{Y}{2}, \frac{Y}{2}\right), \mathcal{C}\right) \\ &= \left\{ \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}} \right) \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{Z}'(A(P_I Y, P_I Y), P_I^\varphi \mathcal{C}) \\
& \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E} + \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}}, \frac{\frac{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}{E} + \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi \mathcal{C}}} \right) \\
& = \tilde{Z}' \left(A(Y, Y), \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{C} \right), \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. It follows from (5), (28) and (29):

For $I = 0$

$$\mathcal{L} = (P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi = \frac{1}{(2^{1-2J})^\varphi} = 2^{2J\varphi - \varphi}.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - 2^{2J\varphi - \varphi})}{(2^{2J\varphi - \varphi})^{1-0}} = \frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi})}{2^{2J\varphi}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{Z}(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\
& \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}}, \frac{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(2^\varphi - 2^{2J\varphi}) \mathcal{C}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

For $I = 1$,

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{(P_I^{1-2J})^\varphi} = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{(2^{1-2J})^\varphi}} = (2^{1-2J})^\varphi.$$

This implies that

$$\frac{(1 - \mathcal{L})}{\mathcal{L}^{1-I}} = \frac{(1 - (2^{1-2J})^\varphi)}{((2^{1-2J})^\varphi)^{1-1}} = \frac{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi)}{2^{2J\varphi}}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{Z}(F(Y) - \mathcal{G}(Y), \mathcal{C}) \\
& \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}}, \frac{\frac{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{1}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_\varphi^{2J}}{(2^{2J\varphi} - 2^\varphi) \mathcal{C}}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *Let E be a positive number and J be a real number with $J \neq \frac{1}{2}$. Let also $F : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a function fulfilling the inequality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}\left(F(X+Y) - F(X) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \\ & \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^{2J} + \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}\} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^J \|Y\|_{\varphi}^J\}}, e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_{\varphi}^{2J} + \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}\} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^J \|Y\|_{\varphi}^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}}, \right. \\ & \left. \frac{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^{2J} + \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}\} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^J \|Y\|_{\varphi}^J\}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\{\|X\|_{\varphi}^{2J} + \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}\} + \{\|X\|_{\varphi}^J \|Y\|_{\varphi}^J\}}{\frac{\mathcal{C}}{E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$. Then, there exists a unique additive mapping $\mathcal{G}(Y) : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ satisfying the functional equation (1) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{Z}\left(\mathcal{G}(Y) - F(Y), \mathcal{C}\right) \\ & \succeq \text{diag} \left(\frac{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{3}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}}, e^{-\frac{\frac{3}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}}{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}}, \frac{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E} + \frac{3}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}} \otimes e^{-\frac{\frac{3}{2^{2J}} \|Y\|_{\varphi}^{2J}}{\frac{|2^{\varphi} - 2^{2J\varphi}| \mathcal{C}}{2^{2J\varphi} E}}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

for all $Y \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\mathcal{C} > 0$.

Acknowledgment

This work is dedicated to all mathematicians working in this research field. Also, the authors are very much grateful to the reviewers for their comments.

References

- [1] J. Aczel and J. Dhombres, *Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [2] S. R. Aderyani, R. Saadati, and R. Mesiar, *Estimation of permuting tri-homomorphisms and permuting tri-derivations associated with the tri-additive-random operator inequality in matrix MB-algebra*, Int. J. Gen. Syst., **51**(6) (2022), 547–569.
- [3] A. Ahadi, R. Saadati, T. Allahviranloo, and D. O. Regan, *An application of decision theory on the approximation of a generalized Apollonius-type quadratic functional equation*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2024** (2024), 24.
- [4] R. A. Aliev, G. B. Guirimov, O. H. Huseynov, and R. R. Aliyev, *A consistency-driven approach to construction of Z-number-valued pairwise comparison matrices*, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst., **18**(4) (2021), 37–49.
- [5] T. Allahviranloo, S. Ezadi, *Z-advanced numbers processes*, Inf. Sci. **480**(3) (2019), 130–143.
- [6] T. Aoki, *On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **2** (1950), 64–66.
- [7] M. Arunkumar, A. Bodaghi, J. R. Rassias, and E. Sathya, *The general solution and approximations of a decic type functional equation in various normed spaces*, J. Chungcheong Math. Soc., **29**(2) (2016), 287–328.

- [8] S. Czerwik, *Functional Equations and Inequalities in Several Variables*, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, 2002.
- [9] J. B. Diaz and B. Margolis, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [10] B.W. Fang and J.K. Wu, *On interval fuzzy implications derived from interval additive generators of interval t -norms*, Int. J. Approx. Reason., **153** (2023), 1–17.
- [11] P. Găvrută, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **184**(3) (1994), 431–436.
- [12] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [13] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac, and Th. M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhauser, Basel, 1998.
- [14] S. M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, 2001.
- [15] Pl. Kannappan, *Functional Equations and Inequalities with Applications*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, 2009.
- [16] B. Margolis and J. B. Diaz, *A fixed point theorem of the alternative, for contractions on a generalized complete metric space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **74** (1968), 305–309.
- [17] V. Radu, *The fixed point alternative and the stability of functional equations*, Fixed Point Theory, **4**(1) (2003), 91–96.
- [18] J. M. Rassias, *On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings*, J. Funct. Anal., **46**(1) (1982), 126–130.
- [19] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **72**(2) (1978), 297–300.
- [20] K. Ravi, M. Arunkumar, and J. M. Rassias, *Ulam stability for the orthogonally general Euler-Lagrange type functional equation*, Int. J. Math. Stat., **3**(A08) (2008), 36–46.
- [21] S. Saidanlu, *Approximate multi-Euler-Lagrange additive mappings in intuitionistic fuzzy stability*, Math. Anal. Cont. Appl., **6**(2) (2024), 33–42.
- [22] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Science Editions John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1964.
- [23] L. A. Zadeh, *A note on Z-numbers*, Inf. Sci., **181**(14) (2011), 2923–2932.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KALAINAR KARUNANIDHI GOVERNMENT ARTS COLLEGE, TIRUVANNAMALAI-606 603, TAMILNADU, INDIA

Email address: sathya24mathematics@gmail.com

Email address: drarun4maths@gmail.com,

Received : June 2024

Accepted : August 2024