

# Fixed point theorems in bi-b-metric spaces

Pravin Kumar B. Prajapati and Ramakant Bhardwaj\*

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we have proved the existence and uniqueness of common fixed point results in bi-b-metric space.

## 1. Introduction

In many branches of science, economics, computer science, engineering and the development of nonlinear analysis, the fixed point theory is one of the most important tool. In 1989, an interesting concept of generalized b-metric spaces was introduced by Bakhtin [2]. Many researchers generalized the Banach fixed point theorem in b-metric space. The existence and uniqueness theorems in b-metric space was presented by Agrawal [1]. In 1968 Maia generalized the result of well known Banach Contraction Principle by taking two metrices on a set Mishra [13] generalized the Maia's fixed point theorem in bi-metric spaces. In 1993 Czerwinski [7] extended the results of b-metric spaces.

We want to extend some fixed point theorems in bi-metric spaces which are also valid in bi-b-metric spaces. Chopade [6] obtained common fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in metric space. Tomonari [11] obtained some basic inequalities on a b-metric space and it's applications. Roshan [10] gave the common fixed point of four maps in b-metric space.

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A function  $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow R$  is called as a metric provided that for all  $u, v, w \in X$ ,

- (1)  $\rho(u, v) \geq 0$ ,
- (2)  $\rho(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ,
- (3)  $\rho(u, v) = \rho(v, u)$ ,
- (4)  $\rho(u, v) \leq \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v)$ .

---

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 47H10, 58C30.

Key words and phrases. b-metric space, bi-metric space fixed point, Continuous mapping

\*Corresponding author.

A pair  $(X, \rho)$  is called a metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. A function  $\rho : X \times X \rightarrow R$  is called as a b-metric provided that for all  $u, v, w \in X$ ,

- (1)  $\rho(u, v) \geq 0$ ,
- (2)  $\rho(u, v) = 0$  if and only if  $u = v$ ,
- (3)  $\rho(u, v) = \rho(v, u)$ ,
- (4)  $\rho(u, v) \leq s\{\rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v)\}$ .

A pair  $(X, \rho)$  is called a b-metric space. It is clear that definition of b-metric space is an extension of usual metric space.

**Example 2.3.** By [4], Let  $M = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $\rho : M \times M \rightarrow R$  is defined as,

$$\rho(0, 2) = \rho(2, 0) = m \geq 2,$$

$$\rho(0, 1) = \rho(1, 0) = \rho(1, 2) = \rho(2, 1) = 1,$$

$$\rho(0, 0) = \rho(1, 1) = \rho(2, 2) = 0.$$

Here  $\rho(u, v)$  is a b-metric on  $M$  with  $s = \frac{m}{2}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a b-metric space and  $\rho(u, v) = (d(u, v))^p$ , where  $p > 1$  is a real number. Clearly,  $\rho(u, v)$  is b-metric with  $s = 2^{p-1}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a b-metric space,  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $u \in X$ . If for every  $\epsilon > 0$ ,

- (1) there exist  $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n(\epsilon)$  we have,  $\rho(u_n, u) < \epsilon$ , then  $\{u_n\}$  is said to be convergent. In this case we write  $\rho(u_n, u) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (2) there is  $n(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$  we have,  $\rho(u_n, u_m) < \epsilon$ , then  $\{u_n\}$  is said to be cauchy sequence in  $X$ .
- (3) a b-metric space  $X$  is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent in  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [11] Let  $(X, \rho)$  be a complete b-metric space and let  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Assume that there exist  $r \in [0, 1)$  satisfying

$$\rho(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq r\rho(u_n, u_{n+1})$$

for any  $n \in N$ . Then  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

### 3. Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, \rho_1, s)$  and  $(X, \rho_2, t)$  be a bi-b-metric space, where  $s \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$  such that,

- (1)  $\rho_1(u, v) \leq \rho_2(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ .
- (2)  $(X, \rho_1)$  is a complete space.

(3)  $A : X \rightarrow X$  and  $B : X \rightarrow X$  be any two selfmaps on  $X$  satisfying,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(Au, Bv) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(Au, Bv), \rho_2(Av, Bu), \rho_2(u, v)\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u, Au)\rho_2(v, Bv)}{\rho_2(v, Bv) + \rho_2(v, Au)} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u, Au)][\rho_2(v, Av)]^r [\rho_2(v, Au)]^q}{1 + \lambda\rho_2(v, Au) + \mu\rho_2(u, Bv) + \rho_2(u, v)} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u, Bv) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u, v)\rho_2(u, Au)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u, v)\rho_2(v, Au)}]}{1 + \rho_2(u, v) + \rho_2(u, Bu)\rho_2(u, Bv)\rho_2(v, Au)\rho_2(v, Av)} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u, v), \frac{\rho_2(u, Au)\rho_2(v, Bv)}{1 + \rho_2(Au, Bv)} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $p, q, r, \lambda, \mu \in R^+$  and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1)$  are such that  $\beta + 2\delta + \eta - \alpha < 1$ .

(4) Both the mappings  $A$  and  $B$  are continuous in  $(X, \rho_1)$ . Then  $A$  and  $B$  have unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

PROOF. Let  $u_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary and define a sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$A(u_{2n}) = u_{2n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad B(u_{2n-1}) = u_{2n}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2}$$

Using equation (1) and (2) we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(Au_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(Au_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1}), \rho_2(Au_{2n+1}, Bu_{2n}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, Au_{2n})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Bu_{2n+1})}{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Bu_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n})} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u_{2n}, Au_{2n})][\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n+1})]^r [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n})]^q}{1 + \lambda\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n}) + \mu\rho_2(u_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1}) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n}, Au_{2n})} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n})}]}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, Bu_{2n})\rho_2(u_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Au_{2n+1})} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, Au_{2n})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, Bu_{2n+1})}{1 + \rho_2(Au_{2n}, Bu_{2n+1})} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})}{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})][\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})]^r [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})]^q}{1 + \lambda\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) + \mu\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})}]}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) + \eta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
& \leq \beta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \eta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}). \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1:  $\min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} = \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})$ . From equation (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) \\
(1 - \delta t) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha)}{(1 - \delta t)} \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq k \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha)}{(1 - \delta t)} < 1$ . In general for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\rho(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq k \rho(u_n, u_{n+1}) \quad (4)$$

Case 2:  $\min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} = \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})$ . From equation (3)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) \\
(1 - \delta t + \alpha) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta)}{(1 - \delta t + \alpha)} \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq k \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta)}{(1 - \delta t + \alpha)} < 1$ . In general, for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\rho(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq k \rho(u_n, u_{n+1}) \quad (5)$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.1 the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since the cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\}$  defined by equation (2) has convergent subsequence  $\{u_{nk}\}$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$  converging to  $u^*$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$ , the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  also converges to  $u^*$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n+1} = u^*.$$

Now, we show that  $u^*$  is fixed point of both the mappings  $A$  and  $B$ . As  $A$  and  $B$  are continuous in  $(X, \rho_1)$ , therefore,

$$A(u^*) = A \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [Au_{2n}] = u^*.$$

Similarly,

$$B(u^*) = B \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n-1} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [Bu_{2n-1}] = u^*.$$

Thus  $u^*$  is common fixed point of the mappings  $A$  and  $B$ .

Suppose that  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  be two common fixed points of the mappings  $A$  and  $B$ . Therefore  $Au^* = Bu^* = u^*$  and  $Av^* = Bv^* = v^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(Au^*, Bv^*) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(Au^*, Bv^*), \rho_2(Av^*, Bu^*), \rho_2(u^*, v^*)\} \\ & \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, Au^*)\rho_2(v^*, Bv^*)}{\rho_2(v^*, Bv^*) + \rho_2(v^*, Au^*)} \\ & + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u^*, Au^*)][\rho_2(v^*, Av^*)]^r [\rho_2(v^*, Au^*)]^q}{1 + \lambda\rho_2(v^*, Au^*) + \mu\rho_2(u^*, Bv^*) + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \\ & + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, Bv^*) \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*)\rho_2(u^*, Au^*)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*)\rho_2(v^*, Au^*)} \right]}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, Bu^*)\rho_2(u^*, Bv^*)\rho_2(v^*, Au^*)\rho_2(v^*, Av^*)} \\ & + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u^*, v^*), \frac{\rho_2(u^*, Au^*)\rho_2(v^*, Bv^*)}{1 + \rho_2(Au^*, Bv^*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u^*, v^*), \rho_2(v^*, u^*), \rho_2(u^*, v^*)\} \\ & \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, u^*)\rho_2(v^*, v^*)}{\rho_2(v^*, v^*) + \rho_2(v^*, u^*)} \\ & + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u^*, u^*)][\rho_2(v^*, v^*)]^r [\rho_2(v^*, u^*)]^q}{1 + \lambda\rho_2(v^*, u^*) + \mu\rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \\ & + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \left[ 1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*)\rho_2(u^*, u^*)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*)\rho_2(v^*, u^*)} \right]}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, u^*)\rho_2(u^*, v^*)\rho_2(v^*, u^*)\rho_2(v^*, v^*)} \\ & + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u^*, v^*), \frac{\rho_2(u^*, u^*)\rho_2(v^*, v^*)}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \alpha\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \leq \delta\rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \eta\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \\ & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \leq (\delta + \eta - \alpha)\rho_2(u^*, v^*). \end{aligned}$$

But  $(\delta + \eta - \alpha) < 1$ . Therefore we have,  $\rho_2(u^*, v^*) < \rho_2(u^*, v^*)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  have unique common fixed point in  $X$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $(X, \rho_1, s)$  and  $(X, \rho_2, t)$  be a bi-b-metric space, where  $s \geq 1$  and  $t \geq 1$ . Let  $P = \{T_i : i \in I, \text{ the set of positive integers}\}$  be a family of mappings on  $X$  such that the following conditions holds

- (1)  $\rho_1(u, v) \leq \rho_2(u, v)$  for all  $u, v \in X$ .
- (2)  $(X, \rho_1)$  is complete space.

(3) for each  $T_j : X \rightarrow X \in P$  there exist  $T_i : X \rightarrow X \in P$  such that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(T_i^m u, T_j^n v) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(T_i^m u, T_j^n v), \rho_2(T_i^m v, T_j^n u), \rho_2(u, v)\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u, T_i^m u) \rho_2(v, T_j^n v)}{\rho_2(v, T_j^n v) + \rho_2(v, T_i^m u)} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u, T_i^m u)] [\rho_2(v, T_i^m v)]^r [\rho_2(v, T_i^m u)]^q}{1 + \lambda \rho_2(v, T_i^m u) + \mu \rho_2(u, T_j^n v) + \rho_2(u, v)} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u, T_j^n v) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u, v) \rho_2(u, T_i^m u)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u, v) \rho_2(v, T_i^m u)}]}{1 + \rho_2(u, v) + \rho_2(u, T_i^m u) \rho_2(u, T_j^n v) \rho_2(v, T_i^m u) \rho_2(v, T_i^m v)} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u, v), \frac{\rho_2(u, T_i^m u) \rho_2(v, T_j^n v)}{1 + \rho_2(T_i^m u, T_j^n v)} \right\} \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

where,  $p, q, r, \lambda, \mu \in R^+$  also  $m, n$  are positive integers and  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \eta \in [0, 1)$  are such that  $\beta + 2\delta t + \eta - \alpha < 1$ .

(4) mapping  $T_i$  is continuous in  $(X, \rho_1)$  for all  $i \in I$ , Then  $P$  has a unique common fixed point.

PROOF. Let  $u_0 \in X$  be an arbitrary. We define a sequence of iterates  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  such that

$$u_{2n-1} = T_i^m (u_{2n-2}) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{2n} = T_j^n (u_{2n-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7}$$

Using equation (6) and (7) we obtain that,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(T_i^m u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(T_i^m u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}), \rho_2(T_i^m u_{2n+1}, T_j^n u_{2n}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, T_i^m u_{2n}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_j^n u_{2n+1})}{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n})} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u_{2n}, T_i^m u_{2n})] [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n+1})]^r [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n})]^q}{1 + \lambda \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n}) + \mu \rho_2(u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n}, T_i^m u_{2n})} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n})}]}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n}) \rho_2(u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_i^m u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, T_i^m u_{2n}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, T_j^n u_{2n+1})}{1 + \rho_2(T_i^m u_{2n}, T_j^n u_{2n+1})} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n+2}, u_{2n+1}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})}{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})] [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})]^r [\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1})]^q}{1 + \lambda \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) + \mu \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})} \\
& + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2})} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})}]}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})} \\
& + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}), \frac{\rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})}{1 + \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} \\
& \leq \beta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+2}) + \eta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
& \leq \beta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \eta \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}). \quad (8)
\end{aligned}$$

Case 1:  $\min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} = \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})$ . From equation (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) \\
(1 - \delta t) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha)}{(1 - \delta t)} \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq k \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta - \alpha)}{(1 - \delta t)} < 1$ . In general, for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\rho(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq k \rho(u_n, u_{n+1}). \quad (9)$$

Case 2:  $\min\{\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}), \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})\} = \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2})$ . From equation (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) + \alpha \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) + \delta t \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) \\
(1 - \delta t + \alpha) \rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq (\beta + \delta t + \eta) \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta)}{(1 - \delta t + \alpha)} \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1}) \\
\rho_2(u_{2n+1}, u_{2n+2}) & \leq k \rho_2(u_{2n}, u_{2n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

where  $k = \frac{(\beta + \delta t + \eta)}{(1 - \delta t + \alpha)} < 1$ . In general, for all  $n \in N$ ,

$$\rho(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) \leq k \rho(u_n, u_{n+1}) \quad (10)$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.1 the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and  $(X, \rho_1)$  is a complete space. Since the cauchy sequence  $\{u_n\}$  defined by equation (7) has convergent subsequence  $\{u_{nk}\}$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$  converging to  $u^*$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$ , the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  also converges to  $u^*$  in  $(X, \rho_1)$ . Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n+1} = u^*.$$

Now we show that  $u^*$  is fixed point of both the mappings  $T_i^m$  and  $T_j^n$ . As  $T_i^m$  and  $T_j^n$  are continuous in  $(X, \rho_1)$ , therefore,

$$T_i^m(u^*) = T_i^m \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [T_i^m u_{2n}] = u^*.$$

Similarly,

$$T_j^n(u^*) = T_j^n \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{2n-1} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [T_j^n u_{2n-1}] = u^*.$$

Thus  $u^*$  is common fixed point of the mappings  $T_i^m$  and  $T_j^n$ .

Suppose that  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  be two common fixed points of the mappings  $T_i^m$  and  $T_j^n$ . Therefore  $T_i^m u^* = T_j^n u^* = u^*$  and  $T_i^m v^* = T_j^n v^* = v^*$ . Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(T_i^m u^*, T_j^n v^*) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(T_i^m u^*, T_j^n v^*), \rho_2(T_i^m v^*, T_j^n u^*), \rho_2(u^*, v^*)\} \\ & \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, T_i^m u^*) \rho_2(v^*, T_j^n v^*)}{\rho_2(v^*, T_j^n v^*) + \rho_2(v^*, T_i^m u^*)} + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u^*, T_i^m u^*)] [\rho_2(v^*, T_i^m v^*)]^r [\rho_2(v^*, T_i^m u^*)]^q}{1 + \lambda \rho_2(v^*, T_i^m u^*) + \mu \rho_2(u^*, T_j^n v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \\ & \quad + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, T_j^n v^*) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \rho_2(u^*, T_i^m u^*)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \rho_2(v^*, T_i^m u^*)}]}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, T_j^n u^*) \rho_2(u^*, T_j^n v^*) \rho_2(v^*, T_i^m u^*) \rho_2(v^*, T_i^m v^*)} \\ & \quad + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u^*, v^*), \frac{\rho_2(u^*, T_i^m u^*) \rho_2(v^*, T_j^n v^*)}{1 + \rho_2(T_i^m u^*, T_j^n v^*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \alpha \min\{\rho_2(u^*, v^*), \rho_2(v^*, u^*), \rho_2(u^*, v^*)\} \\ & \leq \beta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, u^*) \rho_2(v^*, v^*)}{\rho_2(v^*, v^*) + \rho_2(v^*, u^*)} + \gamma \frac{[p + \rho_2(u^*, u^*)] [\rho_2(v^*, v^*)]^r [\rho_2(v^*, u^*)]^q}{1 + \lambda \rho_2(v^*, u^*) + \mu \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \\ & \quad + \delta \frac{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) [1 + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \rho_2(u^*, u^*)} + \sqrt{\rho_2(u^*, v^*) \rho_2(v^*, u^*)}]}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \rho_2(u^*, u^*) \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \rho_2(v^*, u^*) \rho_2(v^*, v^*)} \\ & \quad + \eta \max \left\{ \rho_2(u^*, v^*), \frac{\rho_2(u^*, u^*) \rho_2(v^*, v^*)}{1 + \rho_2(u^*, v^*)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \alpha \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \leq \delta \rho_2(u^*, v^*) + \eta \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \\ & \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \leq (\delta + \eta - \alpha) \rho_2(u^*, v^*) \end{aligned}$$

But  $(\delta + \eta - \alpha) < 1$ . Therefore we have,  $\rho_2(u^*, v^*) < \rho_2(u^*, v^*)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence,  $u^*$  is a unique common fixed point of  $T_i^m$  and  $T_j^n$ . The fixed point of  $T_i^m$  is a fixed point of  $T_i$  and the fixed point of  $T_j^n$  is fixed point of  $T_j$ . Therefore  $u^*$  is unique common fixed point of  $T_i$  and  $T_j$ . Hence  $u^*$  is unique common fixed point of  $P$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

The authors are thankful to the editors and the anonymous reviewers for their valuable suggestions and fruitful comments to improve this manuscript.

### References

- [1] Swati Agrawal,K.Qureshi,Nema Jyoti, *A fixed point Theorem for b- Metric Space*,International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences , **9**(2016), 45-50.
- [2] A. I. Bakhtin, *The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces*, Funct. Anal., **30** (1989), 26-37.

- [3] D. Varsha Borgaonkar and L. K. Bondar, *Fixed Point Theorems for a Family of Self-Map on Rings*, IJSIMR, **2** (2010), 750-756.
- [4] M. Boriceanu, *Fixed Point theory for multivalued generalized contraction on a set with two b-Metric*, Studia Univ Babeş Bolya **3** (2009), 1-14.
- [5] C. V. Borkar, *Common fixed point for nonexpansive type mappings with application*, Acta Cinecia India, **4** (2010), 674-682.
- [6] U. P. Chopade, *Common fixed point theorem for some new generalized contractive mappings*, Int. J. Math. Anal., **4** (2010), 1881-1890.
- [7] S. Czerwak, *Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Acta Math. Inf. Univ. Ostrav, **1** (1993), 5-11.
- [8] S. Czerwak, *Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces*, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena, **46** (1998), 263-276.
- [9] M. Kir and H. Kiziltunc, *On some well known fixed point theorems in b-metric space*, Turk. J. Anal. Number Theory, **1** (2013), 13-16.
- [10] R. J. Roshan, *Common Fixed Point of Four Maps in b-metric spaces*, Hacettepe J. Math. Statist., **43** (2014), 613-624.
- [11] S. Tomonari, *Basic inequality on a b-metric space and it's Applications*, J. Inequal. Appl., **2017**(2017), 2-11.
- [12] K. G. Soni, *Fixed Point Theorem in Bimetric Space*, Res. J. Math. Statist. Sci. **27** (2015), 13-14.
- [13] N. S. Mishra, *Remarks on Some Fixed Point Theorems in Bimetric Spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **9**(12) (1979), 1271-1274.

SCIENCE AND HUMANITIES DEPARTMENT, GOVERNMENT POLYTECHNIC VALSAD-396001,  
GUJARAT, INDIA

*Email address:* pravinprajapati86@gmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AMITY UNIVERSITY, KOLKATA-WB700135, INDIA  
*Email address:* rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com